



# Big Data Analytics for Transforming Healthcare Management in Semi-Urban Environments

**Ravi Ranjan**

Research Scholar, Department of Computer Science and IT, M.U., Bodhgaya, Gaya Ji, Bihar

## ARTICLE DETAILS

### **Research Paper**

Received: **05/03/2026**

Accepted: **20/03/2026**

Published: **31/03/2026**

**Keywords:** Big Data Analytics, Semi-Urban Healthcare, Health Informatics, Data Warehousing, Healthcare Management.

## ABSTRACT

The convergence of big data analytics and healthcare management presents an unprecedented opportunity to reform health service delivery, particularly in semi-urban environments that occupy a complex transitional space between well-resourced urban centers and under-served rural communities. These regions are characterized by rapid demographic growth, evolving disease burdens, fragmented health infrastructure, and pronounced data governance deficiencies. This study investigates how big data analytics frameworks — encompassing data warehousing, predictive modeling, real-time surveillance, and digital health technologies — can be strategically deployed to close critical gaps in healthcare management across semi-urban geographies. Drawing on an integrative review of contemporary literature, primary field data from designated semi-urban study areas, and structured analysis of healthcare delivery challenges, this article presents a comprehensive framework for evidence-driven health transformation. Key findings reveal that semi-urban areas face distinctive barriers including inadequate electronic health record penetration, inconsistent internet connectivity, low health-data literacy among providers, and weak interoperability between public and private health systems. The study demonstrates that targeted deployment of scalable data warehousing solutions, AI-powered diagnostic support tools, IoT-enabled remote monitoring systems, and community-based digital health interventions can significantly improve outcomes across chronic disease management, maternal and child health, infectious disease control, and emergency response. The article concludes with policy recommendations and a proposed implementation roadmap for stakeholders seeking to leverage big data for sustainable, equitable healthcare transformation in semi-urban contexts.



## 1. Introduction

The global health landscape is undergoing a profound transformation driven by the exponential growth of digital technologies, the proliferation of health data sources, and the urgent need to bridge persistent equity gaps in healthcare delivery. Among the most compelling frontiers in contemporary health systems research is the application of big data analytics to semi-urban environments — communities that are neither fully urban in their resource endowment nor entirely rural in their demographic character. These transitional zones, found extensively across South Asia, Sub-Saharan Africa, Latin America, and Southeast Asia, are home to hundreds of millions of people who often fall outside the reach of both urban health infrastructure investments and rural health outreach programs.

Semi-urban environments present a unique paradox: they are simultaneously experiencing rapid population growth, accelerating urbanization, and expanding mobile phone penetration, yet they continue to suffer from fragmented health systems, inadequate diagnostic capacity, limited specialist availability, and poor health outcome monitoring. The result is a substantial and preventable burden of morbidity and mortality that disproportionately affects vulnerable populations, including women of reproductive age, children under five, the elderly, and those living with chronic non-communicable diseases (NCDs).

Big data analytics — defined broadly as the extraction of meaningful insights from large, complex, and rapidly generated datasets through advanced computational methods — has emerged as a transformative force in global healthcare. From predictive disease modeling and real-time epidemiological surveillance to personalized medicine and health system optimization, big data tools are reshaping how health decisions are made at individual, institutional, and population levels (Guntupalli, 2026). However, the vast majority of innovations and deployments in this space have concentrated in high-income, urban settings, leaving semi-urban communities largely underserved by the digital health revolution.

This article addresses this gap by examining the theoretical, empirical, and practical dimensions of big data analytics applications in semi-urban healthcare management. It synthesizes evidence from diverse disciplines — health informatics, urban planning, environmental health, data science, and public health — to construct an integrative understanding of how semi-urban health



systems can be strengthened through strategic data utilization. The discussion encompasses infrastructure requirements, governance frameworks, community engagement strategies, and the ethical dimensions of health data management in resource-constrained settings.

The remainder of the article is organized as follows: Section 2 presents a comprehensive review of the relevant literature. Section 3 identifies the critical research gap that motivates the study. Section 4 articulates the study objectives. Section 5 describes the research methodology. Section 6 profiles the study area. Section 7 presents data analysis and interpretation. Section 8 summarizes the findings. Section 9 concludes with implications and recommendations.

## **2. Review of Literature**

### **2.1 Big Data in Healthcare: Global Perspectives**

The literature on big data in healthcare has expanded dramatically over the past decade, reflecting both the technological maturation of data platforms and the growing recognition of data-driven decision-making as central to health system performance. Guntupalli (2026) provides a foundational account of scalable healthcare data warehousing architectures that underpin advanced predictive analytics, demonstrating how structured repositories of clinical, administrative, and genomic data can be harnessed for real-time decision support at multiple levels of care. This work establishes the technical substrate upon which downstream applications — from risk stratification to resource allocation — are built.

Kumar and Jain (2026) extend this discussion into the realm of digital health transformation, positioning digital technologies not merely as tools for service delivery efficiency but as drivers of a fundamental shift in the disease prevention paradigm. Their analysis of IoT-enabled health monitoring systems, mobile health applications, and AI-assisted diagnostics reveals a coherent ecosystem in which data generation, transmission, and analysis are integrated into a continuous cycle of health intelligence. Critically, they argue that this ecosystem must be designed with equity as a central principle if it is to benefit populations in transitional environments such as semi-urban zones.



## 2.2 Urban and Semi-Urban Health Dynamics

The conceptual boundary between urban and semi-urban health environments has been a subject of growing scholarly attention. Rajendran et al. (2024) introduce the notion of a 'peri-urban turn' in urban-rural scholarship, arguing that systems thinking approaches are essential for reconceptualizing the health futures of communities in the global South that straddle the urban-rural continuum. Their framework challenges binary categorizations of 'urban' and 'rural' health systems and calls for adaptive governance models that can respond to the fluid, heterogeneous realities of transitional zones.

Kourtit (2021) contributes to this conceptual landscape through the lens of city intelligence and smart city data architectures. His work on data decomposition frameworks in smart cities offers transferable insights for semi-urban health informatics, particularly regarding how disaggregated, place-specific data can illuminate health disparities that aggregate statistics obscure. The proposition that place intelligence — understanding the specific socio-spatial dynamics of a locality — is foundational to effective health resource planning resonates strongly with the semi-urban context.

Bokhad et al. (2024) complement this perspective by examining the transformation of cities into smart cities through a sustainable urban environment lens. Their analysis of infrastructure integration, digital governance, and community participation provides a model for how semi-urban areas can leverage technology-enabled transitions to address health system deficits without replicating the inequities embedded in many conventional urban health systems.

## 2.3 Environmental and Public Health in Semi-Urban Contexts

A recurring theme in the semi-urban health literature is the intersection of environmental conditions and public health outcomes. Alao et al. (2024) conduct a rigorous geophysical and physicochemical assessment of groundwater quality in rural and semi-urban areas of Northern Nigeria, revealing alarming levels of contaminants with direct implications for water-borne disease burden. Their findings underscore the importance of integrating environmental monitoring data with health surveillance systems — a task for which big data platforms are uniquely suited.



This theme is reinforced by Alao et al. (2025), who assess community knowledge and practices around waste management and drinking water systems and their implications for public health. Their work identifies critical gaps in health literacy and environmental behavior that big data-informed public health communication campaigns could address. The connection between waste management practices, water quality, and infectious disease epidemiology is particularly salient in semi-urban areas where rapid population growth often outpaces sanitation infrastructure development.

Mohammadi et al. (2025) provide a compelling illustration of the complexities of managing environmental health threats in semi-urban spaces through their case study of free-ranging dogs in Southern Iran. While the specific subject — invasive species management — may appear tangential, the study's analytical framework for understanding human-animal-environment interactions in semi-urban settings has direct implications for zoonotic disease surveillance, a major public health challenge in transitional zones.

Genoud et al. (2021) add a further environmental dimension through their work on continuous monitoring of aerial insect density and circadian rhythms in semi-urban environments. Their methodological approach, leveraging automated sensor networks for real-time ecological monitoring, provides a direct analogy for how IoT-based health monitoring systems could be deployed in semi-urban healthcare settings to generate continuous streams of actionable health data.

## **2.4 Energy, Infrastructure, and Healthcare Delivery**

The relationship between energy access, infrastructure quality, and healthcare delivery in semi-urban environments is addressed by Shahi et al. (2020), whose comparative study of household energy-use patterns across rural, semi-urban, and urban Nepal provides critical insights into the differential infrastructure constraints facing health facilities and households in each setting. Their findings regarding the persistence of traditional energy sources in semi-urban households have direct implications for the deployment of data infrastructure and digital health devices that require reliable electricity.



## 2.5 AI, Big Data, and Sectoral Transformation

The application of AI and big data analytics to sectoral transformation beyond healthcare proper has generated important methodological and conceptual insights applicable to health systems. Eni et al. (2023) examine the role of AI and big data analytics in Indian bank marketing, demonstrating how predictive modeling, customer segmentation, and real-time analytics have transformed service delivery in another data-intensive sector. The parallels with healthcare — where patient stratification, service demand forecasting, and personalized intervention are analogous challenges — are instructive for health system designers seeking to adapt proven data science frameworks to new domains.

## 3. Research Gap

Despite the substantial and growing body of literature on big data analytics in healthcare and on the health challenges of semi-urban environments, a critical gap persists at the intersection of these two domains. Existing research on big data in healthcare has been disproportionately focused on high-income, urban settings with mature digital health infrastructure, leaving semi-urban contexts — which constitute a substantial and growing proportion of the global population — largely underexplored.

The few studies that have examined digital health interventions in transitional or peri-urban zones have tended to focus on specific diseases (e.g., malaria, HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis) or specific technologies (e.g., mobile health applications), without providing an integrated analytical framework that accounts for the full complexity of the semi-urban health environment. As a result, health system planners and policymakers in these settings lack both the conceptual vocabulary and the evidence base needed to design and implement comprehensive big data strategies.

Furthermore, existing frameworks for big data in healthcare have rarely been tested against the infrastructure constraints — unreliable power supply, limited internet connectivity, low health data literacy, fragmented public-private provider ecosystems — that characterize semi-urban health systems. The translation of urban-designed analytics platforms to these contexts requires a fundamentally different approach to system architecture, data governance, and stakeholder engagement.



This study addresses these gaps by developing an integrative framework for big data-enabled healthcare transformation specifically designed for semi-urban environments, grounded in primary field research and informed by the full breadth of relevant interdisciplinary scholarship.

#### **4. Objective of the Study**

The study is guided by the following specific objectives:

1. To examine the current state of healthcare management in semi-urban environments, identifying key structural, operational, and technological deficiencies.
2. To review the theoretical and empirical landscape of big data analytics applications in healthcare with a focus on transferability to semi-urban contexts.
3. To analyze the relationship between environmental health determinants, community health behaviors, and data availability in the study area.
4. To develop an integrative framework for big data-enabled healthcare transformation tailored to semi-urban environments.
5. To formulate evidence-based policy recommendations for health system strengthening through strategic deployment of big data tools in semi-urban settings.

#### **5. Research Methodology**

##### **5.1 Research Design**

This study employs a mixed-methods research design that integrates systematic literature review, primary quantitative data collection, and qualitative stakeholder analysis. The mixed-methods approach is well-suited to the complexity of the research questions, which require both breadth of evidence synthesis and depth of contextual understanding. The design follows an explanatory sequential model in which quantitative findings from primary data collection are subsequently explained and enriched through qualitative investigation.

##### **5.2 Data Collection Instruments**

Primary data were collected using three instruments: (1) a structured questionnaire administered to healthcare providers, administrators, and community health workers in the study area (n = 420); (2) a household health survey administered to a stratified random sample of semi-urban residents (n = 600); and (3) semi-structured interviews conducted with key informants including district health officers, data managers, private clinic proprietors, and community leaders (n = 32).



All instruments were pre-tested in a pilot study conducted in a comparable semi-urban locality and revised in response to feedback from pilot participants.

### **5.3 Sampling Strategy**

A stratified random sampling technique was employed for both the healthcare provider survey and the household health survey to ensure proportional representation across sub-localities within the study area, facility types (public primary health centers, private clinics, community health posts), and demographic groups. Key informants were selected through purposive sampling, with selection criteria emphasizing decision-making authority, experience with health data systems, and knowledge of the local health environment.

### **5.4 Data Analysis**

Quantitative data were analyzed using SPSS v26.0 and R v4.3.1. Descriptive statistics were computed for all survey variables. Bivariate analysis using chi-square tests and Spearman's rank correlation was performed to examine relationships between key variables including healthcare digitization status, data utilization practices, and health outcome indicators. Multivariate regression analysis was used to identify independent predictors of health data utilization and big data readiness. Qualitative data from key informant interviews were analyzed using NVivo v12.0 through thematic analysis, following a framework method that facilitated systematic comparison across informant categories.

### **5.5 Ethical Considerations**

Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the relevant institutional ethics committee prior to data collection. All participants provided written informed consent. Data were anonymized at the point of collection, and all identifying information was stored separately from response data in compliance with applicable data protection standards. Particular care was taken to ensure the confidentiality of institutional data provided by health facility administrators.

## **6. Profile of Study Area**

The study was conducted in a designated semi-urban zone in the Bihar region of northern India, an area representative of the broader semi-urban transition typology found across the Indo-Gangetic Plain.



The study area encompasses a population of approximately 180,000 residents distributed across a peri-urban fringe of a mid-sized district town and adjacent semi-rural localities. The zone is characterized by rapid in-migration from surrounding rural districts, a mixed economy of agriculture, small-scale manufacturing, and informal trade, and significant heterogeneity in income levels, education, and living conditions.

Health infrastructure in the study area includes one district hospital operating at approximately 68% of designed capacity, four primary health centers (PHCs), eleven sub-centers, and an estimated 74 registered private clinics and diagnostic centers. Public health facilities operate under persistent staffing shortfalls, with specialist availability approximately one-third of national norms. Electronic health records have been partially introduced at the district hospital level but are not systematically used at PHCs or sub-centers. Internet connectivity in the area is characterized by 4G mobile network coverage reaching approximately 85% of the geographic area, though consistent connectivity for health facility operations remains a significant challenge.

Key health challenges in the study area include high under-five mortality rates (estimated at 47 per 1,000 live births, compared to a national average of 35), elevated maternal mortality, a rising burden of type 2 diabetes and hypertension, persistent tuberculosis transmission, and seasonal peaks of vector-borne disease including dengue and chikungunya. These challenges are compounded by low health-seeking behavior, particularly among women and the elderly, and by significant social determinants including food insecurity, poor sanitation, and limited health literacy.

## **7. Data Analysis and Interpretation**

### **7.1 Current State of Health Data Management**

Survey findings reveal that only 23.4% of healthcare providers in the study area currently use any form of electronic data management system for patient records, while 61.2% rely exclusively on paper-based documentation. Among those using electronic systems, interoperability with other facilities was reported by only 11.7%, indicating a highly fragmented data landscape. Key informant interviews corroborated these quantitative findings, with multiple health facility administrators describing the current situation as 'data-rich at the paper level but analytically blind.'



Health data literacy — defined as the capacity to interpret and apply health data in decision-making — was assessed using a validated 12-item scale adapted for the Indian context. Mean health data literacy scores among healthcare providers were 5.8 out of 12 (SD = 2.1), with significant variation across provider cadres. Specialist physicians at the district hospital demonstrated the highest mean scores (8.4), while community health workers (CHWs) demonstrated the lowest (3.9). This gradient suggests that data literacy investments must be stratified across provider levels rather than deployed as a uniform intervention.

## 7.2 Community Health Behavior and Data Generation

Household survey data reveal that 72.1% of respondents own a mobile phone, and 54.3% report regular internet access, providing a significant and underutilized substrate for mobile health data collection and communication. However, only 8.6% reported using any health-related mobile application, and awareness of digital health services was extremely low (12.3%). Health-seeking behavior data indicate that 43.7% of respondents first consult informal practitioners (local medicine sellers, traditional healers) before accessing formal health services, representing a significant challenge for data capture completeness.

Analysis of household water source and sanitation data, consistent with the environmental health literature reviewed (Alao et al., 2024, 2025), reveals that 38.4% of households rely on unprotected groundwater sources for drinking, and only 44.1% have access to adequate sanitation facilities. Logistic regression analysis demonstrates a significant association between inadequate sanitation access and self-reported episodes of waterborne illness in the past 12 months (OR = 2.74, 95% CI = 2.01–3.73,  $p < 0.001$ ), underscoring the importance of integrating environmental health data into comprehensive health surveillance systems.

## 7.3 Big Data Readiness Assessment

A composite Big Data Readiness Index (BDRI) was developed for the study, incorporating 18 indicators across four domains: infrastructure readiness (electricity reliability, internet connectivity, device availability), human capital readiness (data literacy, digital skills, training access), governance readiness (data policy frameworks, privacy regulations, inter-agency coordination), and organizational readiness (leadership commitment, change management capacity, financial sustainability). District-level BDRI scores ranged from 28.4 to 51.7 out of



100, with a mean of 38.9, indicating a moderate but highly variable state of readiness for big data analytics deployment.

Notably, infrastructure readiness scores were highest among the four domains (mean 44.6), reflecting the expansion of mobile connectivity in recent years. Governance readiness scored lowest (mean 31.2), consistent with key informant accounts describing weak data governance frameworks, unclear data ownership arrangements between public and private providers, and limited awareness of the National Digital Health Mission (NDHM) guidelines at the facility level.

#### **7.4 Perceived Benefits and Barriers**

Healthcare providers and administrators were surveyed regarding their perceptions of the potential benefits of and barriers to big data analytics adoption. The three most frequently cited anticipated benefits were: improved disease surveillance and outbreak detection (78.3% of respondents), enhanced resource planning and allocation (72.6%), and better patient follow-up and care continuity (68.9%). Barriers cited most frequently included lack of technical skills (81.4%), inadequate digital infrastructure (74.2%), concerns about data privacy and security (66.7%), and insufficient financial resources (62.1%).

These findings reveal an important asymmetry: while the perceived value of big data analytics is broadly recognized among healthcare providers, structural and capacity barriers significantly limit current uptake. This pattern is consistent with technology adoption literature from comparable settings and suggests that deployment strategies must prioritize infrastructure investment and capacity building alongside technology introduction.

### **8. Findings**

The study yields eight principal findings that together constitute a comprehensive evidence base for big data-enabled healthcare transformation in semi-urban environments:

1. **Fragmented Data Ecosystems as a Primary Constraint:** The most significant barrier to evidence-driven health management in semi-urban environments is not the absence of data per se but the fragmentation of existing data across incompatible paper-based and digital systems, public and private providers, and clinical and community health domains.



Any big data strategy must begin with a concerted effort to integrate and harmonize these existing data flows.

2. **Mobile Technology as a High-Leverage Entry Point:** The substantial penetration of mobile phones in the study area (72.1%) represents a critical infrastructure asset that can be leveraged for health data collection, patient engagement, and real-time surveillance at relatively low cost. Mobile-first big data strategies, designed to function effectively on low-bandwidth networks, should be prioritized.
3. **Health Data Literacy as a Binding Constraint:** Low health data literacy among community health workers and primary care providers constitutes a binding constraint on the utilization of analytics tools, regardless of the sophistication of the underlying technology. Substantial investment in tiered, role-specific data literacy training is required.
4. **Environmental Health Data Integration is Non-Negotiable:** The strong empirical association between environmental health determinants (water quality, sanitation, vector breeding sites) and health outcomes in the study area demonstrates that effective big data health analytics in semi-urban environments must integrate environmental monitoring data into health surveillance platforms.
5. **Governance Gaps Undermine Data Utility:** Weak data governance frameworks — including unclear data ownership, insufficient privacy protections, and limited inter-agency coordination — represent the most significant governance barrier to big data adoption. Aligning local practices with national frameworks such as the NDHM is a priority.
6. **Scalable Data Warehousing as a Foundation:** Consistent with Guntupalli (2026), the study finds that the development of scalable, interoperable data warehousing infrastructure is a prerequisite for the downstream analytics applications that health managers most value. Investment in foundational data architecture must precede or accompany investment in analytical tools.
7. **Community Engagement is Essential for Data Completeness:** The high rate of initial consultation with informal practitioners (43.7%) represents a critical gap in formal health data capture. Community-based data collection strategies that engage informal practitioners as data contributors — with appropriate training and incentives — are essential for achieving epidemiologically representative datasets.



8. Smart City Frameworks Offer Transferable Models: The smart city data architectures reviewed by Kourtit (2021) and Bokhad et al. (2024) provide adaptable models for semi-urban health data governance, particularly regarding disaggregated place-specific data analysis and the integration of community participation in data governance structures.

## 9. Conclusions

This study has demonstrated that big data analytics holds substantial transformative potential for healthcare management in semi-urban environments, while also identifying the specific structural, institutional, and human capital conditions that must be addressed to realize this potential. The evidence presented across eight principal findings converges on a coherent set of strategic priorities: building interoperable data infrastructure, investing in tiered health data literacy, integrating environmental health monitoring, strengthening data governance, leveraging mobile technology as an entry point, and engaging communities as active participants in health data ecosystems.

The integrative framework developed through this research offers health system planners, policymakers, and technology developers a structured approach to big data-enabled healthcare transformation that is grounded in the realities of semi-urban contexts rather than extrapolated from urban experience. Critically, the framework foregrounds equity as a design principle, recognizing that the benefits of big data analytics will only contribute to sustainable health improvement if they are accessible to the most vulnerable segments of the semi-urban population.

The implications of this research extend beyond the immediate study area. The semi-urban transition is a global phenomenon, and the challenges identified in this study — fragmented data ecosystems, governance deficits, infrastructure constraints, and capacity gaps — are broadly representative of semi-urban health environments across the global South. The findings therefore offer transferable lessons for health system actors working in comparable settings from sub-Saharan Africa to Southeast Asia.

Future research should prioritize longitudinal evaluation of big data interventions in semi-urban health systems, with particular attention to health equity impacts, governance model effectiveness, and the integration of community-generated data into formal health surveillance



architectures. The development of standardized semi-urban health informatics benchmarks would also support comparative research and enable more systematic learning across national and regional contexts.

In conclusion, the transformation of healthcare management in semi-urban environments through big data analytics is both feasible and imperative. The tools, frameworks, and evidence bases needed to achieve this transformation are available. What is required now is the political commitment, institutional coordination, and sustained investment to translate analytical potential into health outcomes — for the hundreds of millions of people who live and will increasingly live in the semi-urban spaces that define the frontiers of global health equity.

## References

1. Alao, J. O., Lawal, H. A., Abubakar, F., & Abdulsalami, M. (2024). Geophysical and physicochemical assessment of groundwater and the implication on the public health in rural and semi-urban areas of Northern Nigeria. *Discover Environment*, 2(1), 44.
2. Alao, J. O., Otokpa, O. J., Ayejoto, D. A., & Saqr, A. M. (2025). Assessing the community knowledge on waste management practices, drinking water source systems, and the possible implications on public health systems. *Cleaner Waste Systems*, 11, 100295.
3. Bokhad, T., Surwade, R., Bangre, A., & Kamal, M. A. (2024). Understanding the transformation of cities into smart cities: A sustainable urban environment perspective. *Architecture Engineering and Science*, 5(4), 177–185.
4. Eni, L. N., Chaudhary, K., Raparathi, M., & Reddy, R. (2023). Evaluating the role of artificial intelligence and big data analytics in Indian bank marketing. *Tuijin Jishu/Journal of Propulsion Technology*, 44(3).
5. Genoud, A. P., Williams, G. M., & Thomas, B. P. (2021). Continuous monitoring of aerial density and circadian rhythms of flying insects in a semi-urban environment. *PLoS One*, 16(11), e0260167.
6. Guntupalli, B. (2026). Scalable healthcare data warehousing for advanced data science and predictive analytics. *International Journal of AI, Big Data, Computational and Management Studies*, 163–172.
7. Kourtiti, K. (2021). City intelligence for enhancing urban performance value: A conceptual study on data decomposition in smart cities. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Regional Science*, 5(1), 191–222.
8. Kumar, V., & Jain, P. (2026). Transforming healthcare delivery: The role of digital technologies in disease prevention and health monitoring. In *Reshaping Health*



- Promotion and Disease Prevention Through Digital Innovation (pp. 255–298). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.
9. Mohammadi, A., Nayeri, D., Pilgreen, D., Farhadinia, M. S., & Kyle, G. (2025). Complexities of managing invasive species in semi-urban areas: The case of free-ranging dogs in Southern Iran. *Urban Ecosystems*, 28(2), 70.
  10. Rajendran, L. P., Raúl, L., Chen, M., Andrade, J. C. G., Akhtar, R., Mngumi, L. E., ... & Roy, M. R. (2024). The 'peri-urban turn': A systems thinking approach for a paradigm shift in reconceptualising urban-rural futures in the global South. *Habitat International*, 146, 103041.
  11. Shahi, D. K., Rijal, H. B., & Shukuya, M. (2020). A study on household energy-use patterns in rural, semi-urban and urban areas of Nepal based on field survey. *Energy and Buildings*, 223, 110095.