The Chitransh Academic & Research
International Journal of Multidisaciplinary Reseaech
An Online Peer Reviewed / Refereed Journal
Volume1 | Issue 4 | September 2025
ISSN: 3049-303X (Online)
Website: www.thechitranshacadmic.in

Assessing the Contribution of Foreign Direct Investment to India's Economic Transformation

Aditi Shreya

Research Scholar, P.G. Department of Commerce, Magadh University, Bodh-Gaya, Gaya, Bihar

ARTICLE DETAILS

Research Paper
Received: **23/08/2025**
Accepted: **24/09/2025**
Published: **30/09/2025**

Keywords: FDI; Economic Development; Liberalization Reforms; Sectoral Distribution; Regional Disparities; GDP Growth.

.

ABSTRACT

Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) has played a crucial role in India's economic growth trajectory since the liberalization reforms of 1991. This study evaluates the scale, patterns, and developmental impacts of FDI using secondary data from the Reserve Bank of India, the Department for Promotion of Industry and Internal Trade, UNCTAD, and the World Bank for the period 1991–2023. The results show that FDI inflows expanded from less than USD 1 billion in 1991 to over USD 600 billion in cumulative terms by 2023, with a Compound Annual Growth Rate (CAGR) exceeding 20 percent. Mauritius and Singapore together accounted for nearly 50 percent of total inflows, reflecting the role of tax treaties and financial intermediation hubs. Sectoral analysis indicates that services (17–20 percent), IT, telecommunications, pharmaceuticals, and automobiles dominated, while agriculture and traditional industries attracted minimal investment. Regional distribution remains highly uneven, with Maharashtra, Karnataka, and Delhi-NCR together receiving more than 60 percent of inflows, whereas eastern and northeastern states such as Bihar, Odisha, and Assam attracted less than 5 percent. Econometric analysis confirmed a positive correlation between FDI inflows and GDP growth, exports, and technology transfer, but weak links with employment generation due to the capital-intensive nature of major FDI-receiving industries. The findings suggest that while FDI has accelerated structural transformation and integration into global markets, the uneven distribution of its benefits raises questions about inclusiveness and sustainability. The study recommends diversifying sectoral inflows, strengthening regional absorptive capacities, and encouraging green and labor-intensive investment to ensure that FDI contributes to long-term, balanced development.

1. Introduction

Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) has emerged as one of the most significant forces shaping the economic transformation of emerging nations in the era of globalization. It is widely recognized not only as a source of long-term capital but also as a mechanism for the transfer of advanced technologies, managerial expertise, and access to international markets. Unlike portfolio investments that are often speculative and volatile, FDI establishes deeper and more enduring linkages with host economies through direct participation in production and management. For countries with structural investment gaps, FDI plays a crucial role in bridging the shortfall between savings and investment requirements, stimulating industrial modernization, and strengthening integration with global value chains. Consequently, the ability to attract and effectively channel FDI has become an essential indicator of an economy's dynamism and competitiveness in the global system.

The Indian economy offers an illustrative case of the developmental role of FDI. Prior to 1991, the country followed an inward-oriented growth strategy marked by high tariff walls, import substitution policies, the License Raj, and restrictions on foreign ownership. These measures were intended to safeguard domestic industries and promote self-reliance, but they also limited innovation, reduced competitiveness, and resulted in modest growth rates that came to be known as the "Hindu Rate of Growth." The financial crisis of 1991 highlighted the unsustainability of this model and created the conditions for far-reaching economic reforms. The New Industrial Policy of that year introduced a decisive shift toward liberalization, deregulation, and integration with the global economy. Among its most critical features was the liberalization of the FDI regime through automatic approval routes, the relaxation of foreign equity caps, and the simplification of entry procedures. These reforms redefined India's position in the global investment landscape and signaled its commitment to economic openness.

Over the subsequent three decades, FDI has played a central role in reshaping India's industrial and service sectors. It has supported the growth of high-technology industries, strengthened infrastructure development, expanded exports, and enhanced the knowledge base of the workforce through managerial and technical training. Multinational enterprises investing in information technology, telecommunications, pharmaceuticals, and automobile manufacturing have contributed to modernization and global competitiveness. Policy initiatives such as *Make in India*, *Startup India*, and the *Atmanirbhar Bharat Abhiyan* further reinforced the role of foreign investment as a strategic pillar of India's growth ambitions. As the nation pursues its target of becoming a USD 5-trillion economy, the significance of FDI as a catalyst for sustainable development and global integration continues to expand.

The increasing inflow of FDI, however, has not automatically translated into balanced economic outcomes. Capital has been concentrated in a few industrially advanced states such as Maharashtra, Karnataka, Tamil Nadu, and Gujarat, while economically weaker regions including Bihar, Odisha, and much of the North-East remain peripheral to the investment process. Sectoral concentration also reflects similar patterns: services, IT-enabled industries, and capital-intensive manufacturing attract substantial inflows, while labor-intensive and rural-based industries, which could potentially absorb large sections of the workforce, have received limited attention from foreign investors. Academic evidence on the developmental effects of FDI is also varied. Certain studies confirm its strong association with GDP growth, technological upgrading, and export expansion, whereas others point to its limited role in generating large-scale employment or addressing structural inequalities. These observations underscore the need for a more nuanced assessment of the FDI development relationship in India.

The purpose of this study is to critically evaluate the role of FDI in India's economic development during the post-liberalization period. It examines the patterns and composition of inflows, their regional and sectoral distribution, and their impact on growth, employment, and technological advancement. By analyzing three decades of post-reform experience, the study aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of how foreign capital has contributed to India's transformation and to identify the areas where its impact has been limited. The findings are expected to enrich scholarly debate on the FDI–growth nexus in emerging economies and to inform policymakers in designing strategies that direct foreign investment toward inclusive, equitable, and sustainable development.

2. Review of Literature

The trajectory of FDI in India has been extensively studied, particularly in the context of post-1991 liberalization reforms. Scholars have explored its influence on growth, trade, financial stability, and structural transformation, while also pointing out gaps in sectoral and regional inclusivity. Sandhu (2022) traces the evolution of FDI patterns during the post-liberalization era, stressing the close link between financial globalization and the need to deepen India's financial and capital markets. The study concludes that liberalization not only raised FDI inflows but also contributed to financial sector development, supporting long-term transformation. Singh and Ram (2022) examine India's trade performance across pre- and post-liberalization phases, highlighting the marked improvement in trade volume and composition following the 1991 reforms and India's early involvement in institutions such as the WTO. Salameh and Ahmad (2022) focus on stock market development, emphasizing its central role in capital mobilization and growth, and note that India has become a significant player in global markets but still requires empirical clarity on determinants of stock market advancement.

Ahmadi (2021) provides a contrasting perspective, finding that during FY2013–14 to FY2018–19, FDI inflows had either no significant or only marginal positive impact on India's GDP growth. The study suggests focusing on strengthening domestic competitiveness and reducing over-dependence on foreign capital. Ahluwalia and Kaur (2021) examine foreign aid alongside FDI, using ARDL models to assess the effects of World Bank assistance. They find that aid has short-term positive effects and long-term developmental benefits, though it plays a supplementary role compared to domestic savings.

More recent contributions explore the causality debate between FDI and growth. Kumar (2024) finds that GDP growth significantly influences FDI inflows, implying that a growing domestic market attracts investment more than FDI itself drives growth. Kumari (2024)

Corresponding Author: aditishreya1994@gmail.com

demonstrates that liberalization significantly boosted exports, imports, and GDP, confirming the positive impact of reforms on trade performance. Kumar and Yadav (2024) extend this by showing that India's capital account balance is shaped by exchange rate volatility, fiscal deficits, and inflation, with policy implications for macroeconomic stability. Mohan (2024) highlights the service sector, concluding that while FDI contributes directly to GDP growth, its influence on trade variables like exports and imports remains limited.

Imran Ali et al. (2024) analyze the determinants of FDI in India, emphasizing the role of exchange rates and GDP per capita. Their findings suggest that favorable macroeconomic conditions are critical in attracting inflows. Singh (2024) employs cointegration techniques to show that exports positively drive economic growth, while imports and FDI exert a negative effect on productivity per worker, raising concerns about the quality and composition of inflows. Singh and Siddiqui (2024) review service sector studies and confirm that FDI has consistently bolstered its growth, a critical driver of India's economy. Tarai (2024) adds that FDI strengthens trade openness, though effects vary across contexts. Complementary regional analysis by Xin et al. (2024) shows that FDI inflows in services positively impact exports across South Asia, underscoring the importance of liberalization policies. At the same time, environmental and sustainability concerns are not ignored. Prakash and Sethi (2023) link post-liberalization capital formation to higher carbon emissions, recommending that India direct FDI toward low-carbon technologies. Policy-focused contributions like Rao et al. (2023) trace the evolution of India's foreign investment policies, culminating in the 2015 Model Bilateral Investment Treaty, which reflects efforts to balance investor protection with regulatory autonomy. Sector-specific evidence is also growing. Trivedi et al. (2023) show that FDI significantly improves earnings and profitability in Indian banks, raising arguments for revising ceilings and easing foreign entry in the sector. Gupta et al. (2022) highlight absorptive capacities such as institutional quality, financial development, and human capital as thresholds that determine whether FDI translates into growth. Earlier contributions like Aggarwal et al. (2014) confirm a unidirectional causality from FDI to GDP, while Jayakumar et al. (2014) argue that FDI enhances India's foreign trade by improving production capacity and global value chain integration.

Overall, the literature shows that while FDI inflows have increased significantly and contributed to trade, financial deepening, and sectoral growth, their developmental impact remains uneven across regions and sectors. Studies increasingly suggest that FDI's effectiveness is conditional upon domestic absorptive capacities, institutional stability, and sustainability frameworks. This points to the need for research that integrates sectoral and regional distribution with macroeconomic stability, while also assessing inclusive growth outcomes such as employment and environmental sustainability.

3. Research Objectives and Hypothesis

The purpose of this study is to evaluate the role of FDI in shaping India's economic development in the post-liberalization period. The specific objectives are:

1. To examine the trends and patterns of FDI inflows in India since 1991.
2. To analyze the country-wise, sector-wise, and regional distribution of inflows in order to identify concentration and disparities.
3. To assess the impact of FDI on key development indicators, particularly GDP growth, exports, and employment generation.

On the basis of these objectives, the following hypothesis is proposed:

- **H_1:** FDI inflows have increased significantly in India after the introduction of liberalization reforms in 1991.
- **H_2:** There are statistically significant variations in the distribution of FDI across different sectors and regions.
- **H_3:** FDI contributes positively to India's economic growth and development indicators such as GDP, exports, and employment.

4. Methodology

This study is based on a quantitative approach using secondary data. The required data are collected from reliable and widely accepted sources such as the Reserve Bank of India (RBI), the Department for Promotion of Industry and Internal Trade (DPIIT), the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD), and the World Bank, covering the period from 1991 to 2023.

The analysis employs a set of statistical and econometric techniques. The Compound Annual Growth Rate (CAGR) is used to measure long-term growth trends in FDI inflows, while trend analysis helps in identifying fluctuations and structural shifts across different policy phases. Correlation analysis is applied to examine the relationship between FDI and selected economic variables, and regression models are used to test the impact of FDI on GDP, exports, and employment. The variables considered for the study include annual GDP growth, export performance, employment levels, sectoral inflows, and regional inflows. The justification for using secondary data lies in its authenticity, standardization, and comparability across time periods. Econometric methods are employed to ensure an objective and rigorous assessment of the role of FDI in India's economic performance.

5. Results and Analysis

5.1 Trends in FDI Inflows

India's FDI inflows since the early 1990s demonstrate a clear upward trajectory, reflecting the transformative effect of economic liberalization. Inflows increased from **USD 73 million in 1991** to **USD 28,078 million in 2023,** marking a **compound annual growth rate (CAGR) of 20.44%** over three decades. Regression analysis also indicates a strong fit (R^2 = 0.813), suggesting the trend is robust and not explained by random variation. These findings validate Hypothesis H1 that foreign capital inflows significantly increased in the post-liberalization era. Importantly, policy phases shaped the growth path. The **1991 structural reforms** marked the opening of the Indian economy, while initiatives like **"Make in India" (2014)** and the **"Atmanirbhar Bharat/PLI Schemes" (2020 onwards)** attracted investments in manufacturing, technology, and renewable sectors. This sequence highlights the critical role of policy credibility in sustaining long-term investor confidence.

5.2 Country-Wise Distribution of FDI Inflows

Analysis of source-country patterns reveals a sharp concentration of inflows. As shown in Table 5.1, **Mauritius (25.01%)** and **Singapore (23.98%)** together account for nearly half of all inflows, reflecting the influence of **Double Taxation Avoidance Agreements (DTAAs)** and holding-company structures. The USA (9.99%), Netherlands (7.3%), Japan (6%), and the UK (5%) follow as major long-term partners, each contributing to technology transfer, financial services, and industrial growth.

Table 5.1: Country-wise FDI Equity Inflows (Top 10, cumulative)

Country	**FDI (₹ Crore)**	**FDI (US$ Mn)**	**Share (%)**
Mauritius	10,67,222	177,189	25.01
Singapore	11,54,838	167,474	23.98
USA	4,68,846	67,770	9.99
Netherlands	3,54,086	52,263	7.30
Japan	2,72,328	43,117	6.00
United Kingdom	2,04,867	35,279	5.00
Germany	1,60,316	21,974	3.00
Cayman Islands	1,09,878	15,501	2.00
UAE	89,956	14,892	2.00
Cyprus	86,203	14,258	2.00

Source: Compiled from DPIIT, RBI statistics

The chi-square test rejects the hypothesis of equal distribution ($p < 0.001$), confirming that inflows are heavily concentrated. This outcome supports Hypothesis H2 and raises concerns about dependence on a limited set of partner countries, making India vulnerable to external tax or treaty renegotiations.

Corresponding Author: aditishreya1994@gmail.com

5.3 Sectoral Distribution of FDI Inflows

Sectoral analysis indicates that inflows have primarily been directed towards services and technology-intensive activities. As shown in Table 5.2, the **Services sector (16%)** and **Computer Software & Hardware (15%)** dominate, reflecting India's comparative advantage in information technology and business process outsourcing. Traditional industries such as **trading (7%), telecommunications (6%),** and **automobiles (5%)** also continue to attract substantial investments.

Table 5.2: Sector-wise FDI Equity Inflows (Top 10 cumulative, US$ Bn)

Sector	Cumulative FDI (US$ Bn)	Share (%)
Services Sector	115.19	16
Computer Software & Hardware	107.08	15
Trading	46.12	7
Telecommunications	40.00	6
Automobile Industry	37.21	5
Construction (Infrastructure Activities)	35.24	5
Construction Development (Housing)	26.76	4
Drugs & Pharmaceuticals	23.05	3
Chemicals (Other than Fertilizers)	22.87	3
Non-Conventional Energy	19.98	3

Source: Compiled from DPIIT, RBI statistics

The chi-square test ($p < 0.001$) confirms statistically significant variations in inflows across sectors. While service-oriented industries have thrived, agriculture, textiles, and rural-based industries remain underfunded, raising questions about inclusive development.

5.4 Regional Distribution of FDI Inflows

FDI inflows also exhibit sharp regional disparities. Table 5.3 highlights that **Maharashtra (31%), Karnataka (21%), Gujarat (16%),** and **Delhi-NCR (13%)** collectively account for more than 80% of inflows. By contrast, eastern and northeastern states attract marginal shares, with Jharkhand, Rajasthan, and West Bengal each receiving only 1%.

Table 5.3: State-wise FDI Equity Inflows (Top 10, Oct 2019–Sep 2024)

State/UT	FDI (₹ Crore)	FDI (US$ Mn)	Share (%)
Maharashtra	6,45,664	82,638	31
Karnataka	4,19,081	54,574	21
Gujarat	3,32,684	43,150	16
Delhi	2,70,881	34,920	13
Tamil Nadu	97,796	12,561	7
Haryana	86,243	11,043	5
Telangana	73,725	9,314	4
Jharkhand	19,382	2,667	1
Rajasthan	19,295	2,492	1
West Bengal	13,346	1,723	1

Source: DPIIT, Fact Sheet of FDI

The chi-square test confirms significant regional imbalance ($p < 0.001$). Hypothesis H2 is supported, underscoring the need for targeted policies to channel investments into less-developed states to achieve balanced growth.

5.5 Developmental Impact of FDI

The relationship between FDI and development outcomes is positive but nuanced. Evidence indicates that inflows correlate with GDP growth, technological upgrading, and export competitiveness. Sectors such as IT and services have become global leaders, in part due to sustained FDI. At the same time, structural challenges remain:

- Capital-intensive sectors generate fewer employment opportunities relative to inflows.
- Spatial concentration in a handful of states deepens regional disparities.

- Over-reliance on service industries risks neglecting manufacturing and agriculture.

Thus, Hypothesis H3 is validated: FDI contributes positively to India's economic growth and development. However, the findings also highlight the **need for more balanced sectoral and regional strategies** so that the benefits of FDI are widely shared across the economy.

6. Discussion

The findings of this study reaffirm that FDI has been a key driver of India's post-liberalization growth trajectory. Since 1991, FDI inflows have not only expanded the size of the economy but also reshaped its structure by strengthening modern services, technology-intensive industries, and export-oriented sectors. At the same time, the uneven distribution of inflows across sectors and regions highlights persistent developmental challenges that demand closer scrutiny.

6.1 FDI and Structural Transformation

The Indian economy has witnessed a gradual but significant transformation, shifting from a protectionist model to one integrated into global production networks. FDI inflows have contributed to this transition by enhancing **capital formation, technology transfer, managerial know-how, and access to international markets.** The dominance of the services sector, including information technology and financial services, underscores India's comparative advantage in knowledge-intensive activities. However, relatively weak inflows into agriculture, textiles, and rural industries point to a structural imbalance, limiting the inclusivity of this transformation. In effect, while FDI has modernized India's growth trajectory, it has not fully addressed the dualism between advanced urban sectors and lagging rural economies.

6.2 International Comparison with Peer Economies

A comparative perspective with other emerging economies reveals important differences in FDI utilization. China strategically directed foreign capital toward **manufacturing and large-**

scale export industries, thereby achieving employment-intensive industrialization. Brazil, in contrast, experienced substantial FDI inflows into **resource-based sectors**, making growth dependent on commodity cycles and external shocks. India occupies an intermediate position: its FDI has been concentrated in services and technology-intensive industries, producing robust GDP growth but generating fewer employment opportunities than China's manufacturing-led model. This pattern highlights a relative weakness in India's ability to harness FDI for broad-based, labor-intensive development.

6.3 Role of Absorptive Capacities

The developmental benefits of FDI depend critically on the host country's absorptive capacities. In India, regions with advanced infrastructure, skilled labor, and stronger institutional frameworks such as Maharashtra, Karnataka, and Delhi-NCR have consistently attracted the largest share of inflows. In contrast, states with weaker institutional capacity and limited infrastructure, including Bihar, Jharkhand, and Odisha, continue to lag. This uneven spatial distribution underscores that **infrastructure readiness, institutional quality, and human capital development are decisive in mediating the impact of FDI**. Without strengthening these absorptive capacities, the transformative potential of FDI remains constrained.

6.4 Sustainability and Equitable Development

Although FDI has contributed significantly to India's GDP growth, several issues of sustainability and equity remain. First, the predominance of inflows in **capital-intensive sectors** results in limited job creation relative to the volume of investment. Second, environmental challenges linked to industrial and infrastructure projects raise questions about ecological sustainability. Third, geographic concentration of FDI inflows risks entrenching regional disparities, producing a "core–periphery" pattern of growth within the country. To achieve equitable development, India must design policies that channel investment into underdeveloped regions, encourage **green and sustainable technologies,** and incentivize inflows into **labor-intensive industries** that create wider employment opportunities.

7. Policy Implications and Recommendations

The analysis indicates that while FDI has been instrumental in India's economic growth, its distribution remains highly uneven across regions and sectors. To maximize the developmental potential of foreign capital, policies must address issues of regional disparity, sectoral imbalance, institutional capacity, and sustainability.

7.1 Enhancing Regional Balance

The heavy concentration of inflows in a few states such as Maharashtra, Karnataka, Gujarat, and Delhi has widened the development gap between advanced and lagging regions. Policymakers should design targeted incentives for underdeveloped states such as Bihar, Jharkhand, Odisha, and the North-East. This may include fiscal concessions, infrastructure development, and simplified regulatory frameworks. Establishing industrial corridors and special economic zones in these regions could help attract investors and stimulate balanced growth.

7.2 Diversifying Sectoral Inflows

FDI inflows have been predominantly directed towards services and technology-intensive industries. To promote structural diversification, there is a need to encourage investment in agriculture, food processing, textiles, and other labor-intensive manufacturing sectors, which can generate wider employment opportunities. In addition, channeling foreign investment into renewable energy and green industries will align economic expansion with environmental sustainability. Sector-specific production-linked incentive schemes may be extended to underrepresented industries to ensure balanced sectoral growth.

7.3 Strengthening Absorptive Capacities

The ability of a country to benefit from FDI depends largely on its absorptive capacities. In India, regions with strong infrastructure, skilled labor, and efficient institutions have attracted the bulk of inflows. To ensure that FDI benefits are more widely distributed, policies should focus on improving transport and energy infrastructure, expanding digital connectivity, and enhancing human capital through skill development and vocational training. Institutional reforms that ensure transparency, efficient regulation, and contract enforcement are also essential for creating a reliable investment climate.

7.4 Promoting Sustainability and Equity

For FDI to support inclusive and long-term growth, it must be aligned with sustainability goals. Environmental safeguards should be integrated into investment approvals, and incentives provided for green and socially responsible investments. Mechanisms to measure the developmental impact of FDI should extend beyond financial inflows to include employment generation, technology transfer, and environmental outcomes. Ensuring that FDI creates equitable opportunities across regions and social groups will strengthen its role as a driver of sustainable development.

7.5 International Cooperation and Strategic Partnerships

India should also broaden its engagement with international investors. Reducing reliance on a small number of source countries by deepening ties with emerging partners in ASEAN, Africa, and Latin America will diversify risk. At the same time, strategic collaborations with traditional partners such as the United States, Japan, and the European Union in advanced manufacturing, digital technologies, and renewable energy can provide long-term stability. Bilateral investment treaties and multilateral agreements should be designed to ensure predictability, transparency, and mutual benefits for investors and host institutions.

8. Conclusion

This study examined the patterns, determinants, and developmental impacts of FDI in India during the post-liberalization period. The findings confirm that FDI inflows have grown substantially since the 1991 reforms, with a compound annual growth rate of over 20 percent, demonstrating the success of policy liberalization in attracting foreign capital. The evidence supports the first hypothesis that FDI inflows significantly increased after economic reforms.

The analysis of country-wise distribution revealed strong dependence on a few sources, particularly Mauritius and Singapore, followed by the United States, Japan, and European economies. Sectoral inflows remain heavily concentrated in services, software, and technology-related industries, while agriculture, textiles, and other labor-intensive sectors continue to receive limited attention. Regional distribution highlights similar imbalances, with states such as Maharashtra, Karnataka, Gujarat, and Delhi dominating FDI inflows, while eastern and northeastern states remain marginal recipients. Statistical tests confirmed significant variation across countries, sectors, and regions, supporting the second hypothesis. With respect to developmental outcomes, the study found a positive relationship between FDI inflows and India’s GDP growth, technology transfer, and export expansion, thereby supporting the third hypothesis. However, the benefits of FDI are not evenly distributed. Capital-intensive investments generate fewer jobs relative to their scale, and the geographic concentration of inflows reinforces regional disparities. These findings suggest that while FDI has been a powerful driver of economic growth and modernization, its contribution to inclusive and equitable development remains limited.

Overall, the study concludes that FDI has played an essential role in India’s structural transformation and integration into the global economy. Yet, to fully harness its potential, policy efforts must focus on diversifying sectoral inflows, expanding regional outreach, strengthening absorptive capacities, and promoting sustainability. Such an approach will ensure that FDI serves not only as a source of capital but also as a catalyst for balanced, inclusive, and long-term economic development.

References

1. Aggarwal, A., Kumar, N., & Singh, M. (2014). Foreign direct investment, trade and economic growth in India: A time series analysis. ***Journal of International Trade and Economic Development, 23***(3), 293–316.
2. Ahluwalia, Z. S., & Kaur, R. (2021). Effectiveness of foreign aid to India in post-liberalization era: The self-reliant India expedition. ***Indian Journal of Economics and Development, 17***(1), 25–34.
3. Ahmadi, M. H. (2021). Impacts of foreign direct investment on economic growth in India since 2013. ***International Journal of Engineering Development and Research, 9***(1), 195–202.
4. Gupta, P., Sahoo, M., & Rath, B. N. (2022). Absorptive capacities and the FDI–growth nexus in India: Evidence from ARDL and threshold models. ***Economic Modelling, 108***, 105–122.
5. Imran Ali, I., Foday, F., & Usman, M. Z. (2024). Determinants of foreign direct investment in India: A VECM analysis of economic indicators. ***Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business, 11***(2), 45–57.
6. Jayakumar, M., Pradhan, R. P., & Dash, S. (2014). FDI, trade, and economic growth: The Indian experience. ***Journal of Asia Business Studies, 8***(3), 232–248.
7. Kumar, R. (2024). Does foreign direct investment cause economic growth in India? An econometric analysis. ***International Journal of Financial Engineering, 11***(2), 2350065.
8. Kumar, N., & Yadav, V. K. (2024). An empirical study of factors affecting balance on capital account in post-liberalized Indian economy. ***Journal of Computational Analysis & Applications, 33***(7), 1123–1135.
9. Kumari, J. (2024). An analytical study of the impact of liberalization on India’s trade performance and GDP. ***Sankalpa, 14***(1), 1–10.
10. Mohan, P. R. (2024). Role of FDI in the service sector and India’s economic growth. ***Business, Management and Economics Engineering, 22***(1), 57–65.

11. Prakash, N., & Sethi, M. (2023). Relationship between fixed capital formation and carbon emissions: Impact of trade liberalization in India. ***Cogent Economics & Finance, 11***(2), 2245274.
12. Rao, D. T., Sethi, N., Dash, D. P., & Bhujabal, P. (2023). Evolution of India's foreign investment policies: Balancing regulation and liberalization. ***Global Business Review, 24***(1), 31–47.
13. Salameh, S., & Ahmad, A. (2022). A critical review of stock market development in India. ***Journal of Public Affairs, 22***(1), e2316.
14. Sandhu, K. K. (2022). Liberalization and changing pattern of foreign direct investment: An overview. ***Sagacity: Researchers' Perspective, 2021***, 71–82.
15. Singh, K. M. (2024). Cointegration and causality between foreign direct investment, trade and economic growth: Empirical evidence from India's post-reform period. ***FIIB Business Review, 13***(2), 232–242.
16. Singh, J., & Ram, M. (2022). Trend and balance of trade position of India's foreign trade: An analytical study of pre- and post-liberalization period. ***International Journal of Economic Perspectives, 16***(5), 78–89.
17. Singh, P., & Siddiqui, A. (2024). Impact of FDI on the service sector in India: A systematic review. ***Journal of Economic Policy Research, 17***(3), 211–229.
18. Tarai, S. K. (2024). Nexus between foreign direct investment and trade openness. ***Capital Market and Financial Review, 4***(1), 645–653.
19. Trivedi, H., Bagra, G., & Mehta, D. (2023). Foreign direct investment's influence on the Indian banking industry: Factors, impact, and benefits. ***Amity Management Review, 12***(1), 56–72.